

Using Neural Machine Translation for Generating Diverse Challenging Exercises for Language Learners



Frank Palma Gomez¹, Subhadarshi Panda, Michael Flor³, Alla Rozovskaya¹

¹ CUNY Queens College, ² CUNY Graduate Center, ³ ETS

Task and Motivation

Sentence: Are these plates of

importance or can I put them into storage?

Target Word: vital

Valid Distractors: main, urgent, lively

Invalid Distractors: great, utmost

- ☐ Task: given a <u>sentence</u> and <u>target word</u>, generate challenging <u>distractors</u>
- □ A challenging distractor is a word that is semantically similar to the target but does not make the sentence valid
- ☐ Distractors are typically created manually by language experts, which is time consuming and expensive

Approach Translate back to English Translate to Spanish Lance is highly Lance tiene gran knowledgeable on conocimiento sobre this subject este tema Align w/ source (Lance, Lance) Lance is firmly (is, is) knowledgeable on (highly, firmly) this subject

- ☐ We use round-trip neural machine translation (NMT) to generate a diverse set of distractors
- ☐ Intuition: Lexical confusions, common among English learners, will manifest in round-trip NMT as back-translated words that are semantically close to the target
- ☐ We use 16 linguistically diverse language pivots to generate translations

Contributions

- We show that high quality neural machine translation systems produce more challenging distractors
- ☐ We show that typologically distant languages produce more diverse distractors
- ☐ Our human study shows that round-trip

 NMT generates distractors of the same
 difficulty as those produced by
 educational experts

Findings

- ☐ The 16 language pivots are split into **four** groups based on their average BLEU scores.

 (Group 1 = High BLEU, Group 4 = Low BLEU)
- ☐ Langs. with poorest MT systems produce the highest percentage of valid candidates
- ☐ Better Quality MT Systems generate more challenging distractors

Pivot group	Valid candidates (%)	Cands. w/ sem. Sim. to target
1	70.8	125 (55.1%)
2	72.4	47 (38.2%)
3	75.5	39 (28.9%)
4	83.1	50 (36.8%)